



King County Superior Court Official Court Reporters

Our Value and Contribution to King County Superior Court



COURT REPORTER

ELECTRONIC RECORDING

YES	Simultaneous Livenote (Real Time)	NO
YES	Instant Rough Draft Transcripts for Judges	NO
YES	ADA & CART Compliant (Hard of Hearing)	NO
YES	Instantaneous Readback	NO
YES	Quick Transcript Turnaround	NO
YES	Personal Service	NO
YES	Reliable Equipment	NO
YES	Advanced Education	NO
YES	Security & Battery Backup	NO
YES	Minimizes Mumbling & Muttering	NO
YES	Accurate, Not Adequate, Transcripts	NO

Court Reporters Provide Professional Services



Be Aware of Your Rights

RCW 2.32.200

Duties of official reporter

It shall be the duty of each official reporter appointed under RCW 2.32.180 through 2.32.310 to attend every term of the Superior Court in the county or judicial district for which he is appointed, at such times as the judge presiding may direct and upon the trial of any cause in any court, if either party to the suit or action, or his attorney, request the services of the official reporter, the presiding judge shall grant such request, or upon his own motion such presiding judge may order a full report of the testimony, exceptions taken, and all other oral proceedings, in which case the official reporter shall cause accurate shorthand notes of the oral testimony, exceptions taken, and other oral proceedings had, to be taken, except when the judge and attorneys dispense with his services with respect to any portion of the proceedings therein, which notes shall be filed in the office of the clerk of the superior court where such trial is had.

ADDENDUM A

Dependency of Royal Bell
COA No. 65718-6-I
King County No. 10-7-01200-0 SEA

RECORD OF INAUDIBLE NOTATIONS

VOLUME 1

June 28, 2010

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Reason</u>
All	All	Mr. Israel's does not speak clearly throughout much of the proceedings, resulting in numerous inaudibles.
2-6	All	Unclear wording. Over-speaking occasionally.
	1-2,11	Background noise.
	25	Unclear.
0	6	Background noise.
8	All	Not speaking into microphone.
9-20	All	Unclear speaking.
2	23	Moved away from microphone.
4	7	Unclear.
4	14	Not speaking into microphone.
9	23	Unclear.
6,45	All	Unclear.
1	15	Unclear.
2	All	Ms. Rich difficult to understand at times.
2	20	Two speaking at once.
5-57	All	Answers unclear.
9-60	All	Unclear.
2	14	Trailed off end of sentence.

June 29, 2010

3	All	Not speaking into microphone.
5	4	Not speaking into microphone.
0	23	Trailed off end of sentence.
6	8	Not speaking into microphone clearly.
8	22	Background noise interference.
01	3	Someone coughed into microphone.
01	19	Unclear word.
02	All	Mr. Israel not speaking clearly.
04	12	Unclear word.
09	6	Unclear word.
15	20	Unclear words.
19	8	Speaking too rapidly to understand.
21	7	Speaking too rapidly to understand.
23	8	Both parties speaking at once.
24	17	Background noise interference (voices).
25	6	Background, paper shuffling.

125	15	Not speaking loud enough.
126	All	Unclear.
127	All	Not speaking into microphone.
128	6	Unclear.
128	21	Someone coughed over microphone.
130	All	Not speaking clearly and/or into microphone.
131	All	Unclear.
134-137	All	Speaking too fast and not clearly.
139	5,23	Unclear.
140	6	Speaking fast and unclear.
141	5	Someone coughed into microphone.
144-145	All	Unclear.
148-150	All	Not speaking into microphone.
151	24	Unclear.
152	3,25	Unclear.
154	13	Spoke fast and unclear.
155	13	Unclear.
158	All	Unclear.
164	4	Background noise and movement interferes.
183	22	Unclear.
185	All	Background noise, unclear words.
188	10	Witness coughed.
188	18	Unclear.
189	19	Unclear.
194	19	Unclear.
195	3	Unclear.
199	10	Unclear. All acronyms used are as heard. Would be helpful if the party using the acronym stated the name of the organization/item the first time used.

June 30, 2010 - Volume 2

2	All	Unclear.
4	5	Unclear.
4-11	All	Witness has fast-speaking dialect, difficult to understand, noted as inaudible in her testimony.
11	10	Unclear.
13	16-17	Witness speaking too fast to understand clearly.
16	8,22	Trailed off end of sentence.
17	6	Unclear.
18	12	Trailed off end of sentence.
15	8	Mr. Israel not speaking clearly into microphone, pronunciation continues to be unclear at times.
17	4	Unclear.
17	10	Someone coughed into microphone.
10	3	Unclear.
15	1	Witness not speaking into microphone.
17	11	Unclear.
2	3	Unclear.

46	16	Unclear.
47	5	Cut off.
48	16	Trailed off end of sentence.
49	4,22	Drifting away from microphone.
50-51	All	Unclear speaking.
56	13	Unclear.
50	22	Unclear.
51	4	Unclear.
57	24	Unclear.
70	21	Parties talking over one another.
71	3	Parties talking over one another.
74	6	Unclear.
77	3	Parties talking over one another.
77	10	Trailed off end of sentence.
82	16	Unclear.
82	22,24	Unclear.
85-97	All	Unclear.
89	13	Unclear.
100	9	Trailed off end of sentence.
100	13,24	Unclear.
101	14	Unclear.

July 6, 2010

108	3	Not speaking directly into microphone.
-----	---	--

1 A I've made some mistakes in the past, but (inaudible) to
2 my child I never went that route.

3 Q What about in terms of doing your services, do you think
4 you could have done anything differently in the last year
5 and a half to be in a better position today before the
6 court?

7 A For myself yes, but for the CPS, no, it's just like
8 anything I ever did they turned their back on me.

9 Q What do you mean for yourself?

10 A For myself and my child, yes.

11 Q (Inaudible question.)

12 A Comply with all services and make sure I am doing
13 everything I need to do for me and my child.

14 Q Did you hear some testimony that you maybe had made some
15 threats to a CPS worker--what do you have to say about
16 that?

17 A No that's a lie.

18 Q Is there anything else you want to tell the court to try
19 and convince the court that your rights should not be
20 terminated?

21 A My child shouldn't have been taken (sic) and I believe
22 that if I have another chance and a different worker
23 things will go better and (inaudible) and that things are
24 (inaudible) right now and in the past were difficult too,
25 but this is a time to change and a time to move forward,

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

STATE OF WASHINGTON,)
)
) COA NO. 65222-2-I
)
) *Plaintiff,*)
 v.) CAUSE NO. 03-2-12912-7 SEA
)
 CHRISTOPHER MULKINS,)
)
) VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT OF
)
) *Defendant*)
)
)
)
)
)

March 26, 2010

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

BEFORE THE HONORABLE

MARY ROBERTS

For the State, by DPA:
ALISON MOORE BOGAR

For the Defendant:
DAVID HAMMERSTAD
DEVON GIBBS
Public Defender's Office

NOTE!
175 inaudibles
in 8 pages

Transcribed by:

1 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON; MARCH 26, 2010

2 1:27 P.M.

3 * * *

4 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE: All rise. Court is now in
5 session, the Honorable Mary Roberts presiding.

6 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated.

7 MR. HAMMERSTAD: Good afternoon, your Honor. Is Mr. Mulkins
8 on [inaudible]?

9 THE COURT: Not yet [inaudible].

10 MR. HAMMERSTAD: Not yet; okay.

11 [Telephone tones.]

12 UNIDENTIFIED MALE VOICE: Thank you for calling [inaudible].
13 Please wait.

14 [Telephone ring tones.]

15 UNIDENTIFIED MALE VOICE: Thank you for calling DSHS on McNeil
16 Island. If you know the party --

17 [Telephone dial tones.]

18 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE: Please wait [inaudible].

19 THE COURT: Do you think he'll answer, or is somebody else
20 [inaudible] answer?

21 UNIDENTIFIED MALE VOICE: Hello.

22 BAILIFF: Hi, this is Sherri, calling from Judge Roberts'
23 court. Is this Mr. Mulkins?

24 D: Yes, it is.

25 BAILIFF: Okay. I've got you on speaker phone in open court.

26 D: Okay.

1 BAILIFF: Okay.

2 MS. BOGAR: Would you like me to call the case?

3 MR. HAMMERSTAD: Are you sure he's going to be able to hear?

4 THE COURT: Counsel, can you come up here, so Mr. Mulkins can
5 hear?

6 MS. BOGAR: Sure.

7 THE COURT: [Inaudible.]

8 Sir, [inaudible].

9 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE: [Inaudible] Christopher Mulkins,
10 case number 03-2-12912-7, Seattle. [Inaudible] the State; Mr.
11 Mulkins is present via telephone, or via [inaudible], and he's
12 represented by David Hammerstad and [inaudible] --

13 THE COURT: Devon Gibbs.

14 MS. BOGAR: Oh.

15 This case was before the Court today [inaudible] based on the
16 annual review conducted by Dr. Rebecca Judson [phonetic]
17 [inaudible]. This review that the State released as prima facie
18 evidence has been presented at -- Mr. Mulkins continues to be a
19 criterion of [inaudible].

20 The conclusions of Dr. Beckman are based on his review record,
21 [inaudible] psychological [inaudible]. [Inaudible]. She also
22 [inaudible] frequent information that she had received, and
23 especially at the SBP [phonetic], but is not able to have
24 [inaudible] appointment [inaudible] process.

25 [Inaudible] and having [inaudible] to use all of his,

1 especially, as you know, and, also [inaudible] after this, the
2 doctor [inaudible] Mr. Mulkins is [inaudible] change, and
3 [inaudible].

4 So, based on that, [inaudible] prima facie evidence
5 [inaudible]. Mr. Mulkins still meets criteria. And, in review,
6 Mr. Mulkins [inaudible] the issue was raised about the
7 [inaudible] disorder, and the State's position is that though he
8 continues to meet criteria [inaudible] the doctor [inaudible] his
9 medical condition, [inaudible].

10 [Inaudible] require the State [inaudible] that the doctor has
11 to [inaudible] specifically add that. She does like to
12 [inaudible] what the criteria is [inaudible] in her report, and
13 she bases that [inaudible] for her finding that [inaudible].

14 [Inaudible] diagnoses on the fact that his [inaudible] at all,
15 and [inaudible] change now, we have to determine whether or not
16 he [inaudible] recommitment, based on [inaudible], and that's not
17 what the criteria is, at all.

18 The [inaudible] annual review hearing is whether or not or not
19 he meets criteria, especially on [inaudible] used. And Dr.
20 [inaudible] that criterion has not been changed.

21 I think what Mr. Mulkins is asking for is what [inaudible].
22 [Inaudible] no authority for this, and it's not as though
23 hypersexuality or special compulsivity is used [inaudible] case
24 at all; there's lots of testimony regarding it, especially
25 [inaudible] testified that he was [inaudible], and had lowered

1 his testosterone levels [inaudible], and was still acting out
2 sexually because he's [inaudible].

3 There was testimony from Marcia Tadrick [phonetic], one of his
4 therapists, that he was hypersexual and sexually compulsive. It
5 was evident [inaudible] acting out [inaudible] being sexually
6 compulsive [inaudible]. [Inaudible] Dr. Jackson's report, she
7 [inaudible] behavior acting out [inaudible] get it, and actually
8 thinks that his behavior has gotten worse [inaudible] acting out,
9 especially [inaudible] that she actually got from [inaudible]
10 assault.

11 So, given that, your Honor, the State's position is that
12 there's prima facie evidence [inaudible] hearing should be
13 [inaudible]. The State [inaudible]. [Inaudible] Mr. Mulkins is
14 not entitled to [inaudible] annual review to [inaudible].

15 So, I'll rely on her [inaudible] briefing [inaudible].

16 THE COURT: Mr. Hammerstad?

17 MR. HAMMERSTAD: Thank you, your Honor.

18 Our position, your Honor, is that the State has failed to put
19 forth a [inaudible] case that Mr. Mulkins still needs
20 [inaudible]. They're required, under statute, case law, to put
21 forth a minimum [inaudible] that establishes all the elements of
22 the commitment, including the currently [inaudible] causing
23 serious difficulty in controlling his sexual [inaudible]
24 behavior.

25 That's [inaudible] requirement's also modified by Supreme

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

STATE OF WASHINGTON,) TRANSCRIPTION OF FTR
 Plaintiff,) GOLD #DR4G
 vs.) Cause No. 07-1-10175-2KNT
 SYLVESTER LEE NEAL, JR.,) COA No. 64815-2-I
 Defendant.)

COPY

TRANSCRIPT

of the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause
 before the HONORABLE BRIAN GAIN, Superior Court Judge,
 on the 12th day of September, 2008, transcribed by
 [REDACTED] Certified Transcriptionist.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: ZACH HOSTETTER
 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

FOR THE DEFENDANT: JOHN RANDOLPH
 Attorney at Law

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

PROCEEDINGS

SEPTEMBER 12, 2008

1
2
3
4 MR. HOSTETTER: State of Washington versus
5 Sylvester Neal, cause number 07-1-10175-2, Kent
6 designation. Matt Hostetter for State. Defendant is
7 present out of custody with counsel John (Inaudible.) this
8 morning.

9 There is a number of issues in this case,
10 your Honor, first that (Inaudible.) notice of amendment
11 (Inaudible.) make that motion this morning (Inaudible.)
12 time (Inaudible.) amendments. Also to add a bail jumping
13 charge, and Mr. Randolph told me that he is (Inaudible.)
14 possible witness that bail jumping charge (Inaudible.) So
15 that's something we need to address. Let me hand forward
16 the amendment (Inaudible.) copy of this (Inaudible.)

17 THE COURT: Actually, your motion to amend is
18 granted. (Inaudible.) would be (Inaudible.) ~~In.~~

19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And, your Honor, I am
20 moving to withdraw the burglary charge from (Inaudible.)
21 my understanding in my review of the file (Inaudible.)
22 obviously (Inaudible.) record (Inaudible.) my
23 understanding (Inaudible.) have to (Inaudible.) our
24 offices (Inaudible.) our office (Inaudible.) so we are
25 requesting (Inaudible.) withdraw (Inaudible.) I would -- I